

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/943,149	08/30/2001	Nathan Y. Moyal	0325.00495	8648	
21363 7:	590 07/25/2003				
	CHRISTOPHER P. MAIORANA, P.C.			EXAMINER	
24025 GREAT SUITE 200			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, LINH V	
S1. CLAIR SH	ORES, MI 48080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2819		

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

oplicant(s)	
MOYAL ET AL.	
Art Unit	
2819	
correspondence address	
OR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in ely filed Request for Continued	
n in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension or originally set in the final Office action; or alling date of the final rejection, even if	
eriod set forth in of the appeal.	
(see NOTE below);	
erially reducing or simplifying the	
finally rejected claims.	
eparate, timely filed amendment	
sidered but does NOT place the	
to issues which were newly	
o) will be entered and an ow or appended.	

Application No. 09/943,149 **Advisory Action Examiner** Linh V Nguyen

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the

THE REPLY FILED 7/25/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this applicational rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (NCE) in compliance with 37 GFK 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
 a) The period for reply expires 1 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 21</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Application No. 09/943,149

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendment to claims 1, 15, and 16 "said divided frequency is adjustable" response to said multi-bit lock signal " raise new issue of definition and definiteness over the prior art of record.

Michael Tokar
Michael Tokar
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Compression Technology Center 2800

2